Main Trends of the QUASAR Main Sequence



SWAYAMTRUPTA PANDA^{1,3} • PAOLA MARZIANI² • BOŻENA CZERNY¹

¹CENTER FOR THEORETICAL PHYSICS, WARSAW ²INAF-ASTRONOMICAL OBSERVATORY OF PADOVA ³NICOLAUS COPERNICUS ASTRONOMICAL CENTER, WARSAW

12th Serbian Conference on Spectral Line Shapes in Astrophysics, Vrdnik, Serbia, June 3-7, 2019

arXiv:1905.01729

Overview

• The diaspora in Fell emission

• mechanisms, multiplets, pseudo-continuum

• Schema for the Eigenvector 1

- **Principal Component Analysis**, optical plane
- o the Quasar Main Sequence
- "Looking at it" differently
 - effect of viewing angle (f-factor), physical trends
 - the **Mass** effect

• In progress

- cloud dynamics and composition
- and something more...

• The diaspora in Fell emission in AGNs

~40-years in the making*

Why?

- To determine the Energy budget of the emitting gas, provided the emission is strong.
- Measurement of its Abundance = f(cosmic time), to verify cosmological parameters.

How?

- Standard photoionisation
- Continuum fluorescence
- Collisional excitation
- Self-fluorescence among Fell transitions
- Fluorescent excitation by Lyα and Lyβ lines

Verner et al. 1999

"The atom is far from equilibrium in most emission-line objects, so the spectrum is sensitive to the detailed local conditions. A complete simulation of the physical processes affecting the Fe II spectrum would make it possible to deduce the density, temperature, and iron abundance of the emitting regions."

Boroson & Green, 1992

- "Make a template Fell spectrum by removing lines that are not Fell" for PG 0050+124 (1 Zwicky 1) spectrum
- The result of their procedure was a spectrum representing only permitted FeII emission in 1 Zw 1 (removing Balmer lines, [OIII] lines, [NII] λ5755, blend of Na I D and He I λ5876, two intense [FeII] lines at λ5158 and λ5273)
- Theoretical Fell template (Verner et al., 1999)
- Empirical UV template (Vestergaard & Wilkes, 2001)
- Theoretical Fell template (Sigut & Pradhan, 2002)
- Semi-Empirical optical Fell template (*Véron-Cetty et al.*, 2003)
- Optical Fell template based on FSG groups (*Kovacevic et. al, 2010*)
- 1Zw1-derived NIR Fell template (Garcia-Rissmann et al., 2012)

And others...



Theoretical UV and optical Fe II spectra calculated for the parameters expected in quasar broad emission line regions. The clouds are illuminated by a spectral energy distribution typical in AGNs, total hydrogen column density of 10^{24} cm⁻², and solar abundances: n = 10^{12} cm⁻³, log ($\Phi_{\rm H}$) = 20.5 cm⁻² s⁻¹

• Schema for the Eigenvector 1

Principal Component Analysis (PCA)

- 13 tabulated properties
- Eigenvector 1: Fell [OIII] anti-correlation
- Peak λ5007 and Hβ FWHM correlation

Boroson & Green, 1992



FeII emission within 4434-4684Å wrt broad H β

Shen & Ho, 2014

PCA in short

- Linear combination of variables
- Taking multi-dimensional data (> 2D) and making 2D plots
 - To show relevant clustering in data
 - To segregate parameters (principal components) based on valuability on clustering
- Basic steps:
 - $\circ \qquad {\sf Data \ shifted \ to \ origin}$
 - Least-square minimization to get best fit ← PC
 - Re-scale the best-fit to unity ← Eigenvector



"Looking at it" differently

Modelling the optical plane

- Mainly as a function of black hole mass & accretion rate
- Theoretical SED shapes, local density, cloud composition

Effect of viewing angle (f-factor)

$$M_{\rm BH} = f \frac{r_{\rm BLR} \rm FWHM^2}{G} = \frac{r_{\rm BLR} \rm FWHM^2}{G(4 \cdot (\kappa^2 + \sin^2 \theta))}$$

╺╋╸

account for R_{Fell} values in each spectral type along the MS, in a way consistent with the observational trends in metallicity, density, and SED



 $\kappa = v_{iso} / v_{\kappa}$

Effect of viewing angle (*f*-factor), physical trends

Results from a set of CLOUDY simulations performed on a constant density single BLR cloud assuming $M_{BH} = 10^8 M_{\odot}$ showing the distribution of changing Fell strength with changing BLR sizes computed from the virial relation. Open circles mark the $R_{\mbox{\tiny FeII}}$ values expected for $\theta = 30^{\circ}$ and $\theta = 45^{\circ}$. The color patches (in red) in each spectral bin denote the range of $R_{E_{ell}}$ values as expected from observational evidences. The respective upper (+ 2σ) and lower (-3σ) bounds are shown by blue dashed lines about the r_{RIR} values estimated from the Bentz et al. (2013) relation (shown by black dashed lines) and the range is shown as green shaded regions. The inset diagram shows the optical plane of the Eigenvector 1, FWHM(H β) vs. R_{Fell}



Panda, Marziani & Czerny (under-review)

the Mass effect



Effect of viewing angle (*f*-factor), physical trends

Excerpt of the Table 1 from our paper showing photoionization models of spectral types and associated prevalences

| Case | ST | Z | $\log n_{\rm H}$ | $L/L_{\rm Edd}$ | SED | $	heta^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $\log R_{\rm BLR}{}^{\rm b}$ | | | $	ilde{n}$ | | |
|-------|------------|---------------|------------------|-----------------|-----|----------------------|------------------------------|-------|-------|------------|------|------------------|
| | | $[Z_{\odot}]$ | $[cm^{-3}]$ | | | | [cm] | A1 | A2 | A3 | A4 | A5f ^c |
| C1/C2 | A1 | 5 | 10.5 | 0.2 | M&F | 0 - 45 | 16.12 - 17.83 | 0.92 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| C1/C2 | A1 | 5 | 10.5 | 0.2 | M&F | 10.9 - 26.8 | 16.78 - 17.45 | 0.26 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| C1 | A2 | 5 | 11 | 0.5 | M&F | 0 - 45 | 16.12 - 17.83 | 0.735 | 0.215 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| C1 | A2 | 5 | 11 | 0.5 | M&F | 13.51 - 32.7 | 16.93 - 17.60 | 0.25 | 0.20 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| C2 | A2 | 5 | 11 | 0.5 | M&F | 0 - 45 | 16.12 - 17.83 | 0.58 | 0.31 | 0.11 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| C2 | A2 | 5 | 11 | 0.5 | M&F | 13.5 - 32.7 | 16.93 - 17.60 | 0.09 | 0.30 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | B1 | B2 | B3 | B4 | B5f |
| C1 | B1 | 0.5 | 10 | 0.05 | Kor | 16 – 45 | 16.10 - 16.87 | 0.87 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| C1 | B1 | 0.5 | 10 | 0.05 | Kor | 37.4 - 45 | 16.55 - 17.22 | 0.30 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| C1 | B1 | 0.5 | 10 | 0.05 | Lao | 12 - 45 | 16.55 - 17.22 | 0.93 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| C1 | B1 | 0.5 | 10 | 0.05 | Lao | 37.4 - 45 | 16.55 - 17.22 | 0.30 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| C2 | B 1 | 1.0 | 10 | 0.075 | Kor | 18 - 45 | 16.10 - 16.87 | 0.83 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| | | | | | 22 | | | | 0.00 | | | 0.00 |

Results from a set of CLOUDY simulations performed on a constant density single BLR cloud assuming $M_{BH} = 10^8 M_{\odot}$ and $M_{BH} = 10^{10} M_{\odot}$

Panda, Marziani & Czerny (under-review)

In progress

• Intra-cloud DYNAMICS and COMPOSITION

- Streamlining the FWHM DISTRIBUTION along the vertical spectral types with inclination
- Streamlining the MASS DISTRIBUTION with inclination
- Testing the model with CONSTANT BOLOMETRIC LUMINOSITY

Metallicity cloud density distribution as a function of R_{Fell} at zero turbulence. The montage is shown as a function of increasing steps in inclination the angles, corresponding BLR size computed from the virial relation. The BLR size from the Bentz et al. 2013 R-L relation is shown for $\lambda_{_{Edd}}\text{=}0.2$ and $M_{BH} = 10^{10} M_{\odot}^{Laa}$. The SED shape used is taken from Korista et al. 1997. The distribution is shown for spectral type A1.



M8 k=0.1 vs k=0.5, Korista SED, Edd=0.2, A1









Thank you for your attention!

- 1. **Fell** is a complex species with numerous emission mechanisms.
- 2. The **Eigenvector 1 diagram** holds a key to understand the FeII emission and the rarity of strong FeII emitters
- 3. Combining M_{BH} , dM/dt and Θ^* with a comprehensive FeII model
- 4. Constraining physical parameter space with observational trends
- 5. As a predictive tool for reverberation mapping studies
- To explain xA quasars as standard Eddington
 candles as a probe for Cosmology.

*holy-trinity of quasars